

THE ABSOLUTE INTEGRAL CLOSURE IN CHARACTERISTIC p (AN EXPOSITION OF WORK BY HOCHSTER, HUNEKE AND KNOP)

DAVID BERLEKAMP

ABSTRACT. Let R be a local Noetherian domain of positive characteristic. A theorem of Hochster and Huneke shows that the absolute integral closure of R is Cohen-Macaulay if R is excellent. The existence of big Cohen-Macaulay algebras is one of the homological conjectures, and indeed rather a strong one; it implies, for instance, the monomial conjecture (if x_1, \dots, x_d is a system of parameters, then $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d)^n$ is not in the ideal generated by the $(n+1)$ -th powers of the x_i , for any n). I will present a simpler proof of this result, given by Huneke and Lyubeznik, which extends to the case where R is the image of a Gorenstein local ring, and elaborate somewhat on these connections.

Theorem 0.1. *If R is a noetherian domain that is the image of a Gorenstein local ring A of characteristic p , then the integral closure R^+ of R (in an algebraic closure of its fraction field) is CM (Cohen-Macaulay).*

This follows from a better theorem:

Theorem 0.2. *Let R be as above. For every R -subalgebras $R' \subset R^+$ that is finite over R , there exists an R' -subalgebra R'' of R^+ that is finite over R such that $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R') \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R'')$ is 0 for all $i < d := \dim R$.*

Consequences:

- (1) $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R^+) = 0$ for all $i < d$.
- (2) R^+ is Cohen-Macaulay: every system of parameters on R is regular on R^+ .

Proof of (2). Assume that (x_1, \dots, x_{j-1}) is regular. Let $I_t = (x_1, \dots, x_t)$. For $t \leq j-1$, taking cohomology of the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R^+/I_{t-1}R^+ \xrightarrow{x_t} R^+/I_{t-1} \rightarrow R^+/I_tR^+ \rightarrow 0$$

yields $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^q(R^+/I_{j-1}R^+) = 0$ for all $q < d - (j-1)$. Since $j-1 < d$, we get $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^0(R^+/I_{j-1}R^+) = 0$. \square

Let A be Gorenstein with $A \twoheadrightarrow R$. Let $n = \dim A$. Local duality gives $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(-) \simeq D(\text{Ext}_A^{n-i}(-, A))$ on finite R -modules, where $D = \text{Hom}(-, E)$, where E is the injective hull of $A/\mathfrak{m}A$.

We use induction on $\dim R$. The module $N := \text{Ext}_A^{n-i}(R', A)$ is finite over R . Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal not equal to \mathfrak{m} . Claim (*): There exists an R' -subalgebra $R^{\mathfrak{p}}$ of R^+ , finite over R , such that for every $R^{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra R^* , finite over R , the map $\text{Ext}_A^{n-i}(R^*, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{n-i}(R', A) =: N$ is zero when localized at \mathfrak{p} . It suffices to prove that $\text{Ext}_A^{n-i}(R^*, A) \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{n-i}(R^{\mathfrak{p}}, A)$. Let $d_{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim R/\mathfrak{p} > 0$. Then $\dim R_{\mathfrak{p}} = d - d_{\mathfrak{p}} < d$. Since $i < d$, we have $i - d_{\mathfrak{p}} < d - d_{\mathfrak{p}}$, so by the inductive hypothesis, there exists $T_{\mathfrak{p}}$, finite over $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$, such that $H_{\mathfrak{p}}^i(R_{\mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{p}}^i(T_{\mathfrak{p}})$

Date: April 15, 2008.

is 0. Say $T_{\mathfrak{p}} = R_{\mathfrak{p}}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$. Without loss of generality the z_i are integral over R . Let $R^{\mathfrak{p}} := R[z_1, \dots, z_n]$.

Consider $\bar{R} := R'[R^{\mathfrak{p}_1}, \dots, R^{\mathfrak{p}_j}]$. Dualizing $\text{Ext}_A^{n-i}(\bar{R}, A) \rightarrow \mathcal{I} \rightarrow \text{Ext}_A^{n-i}(R', A)$ yields $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R') \rightarrow D(\mathcal{I}) \hookrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(\bar{R})$; let ϕ be the composite map. The image $\text{im } \phi$ is finitely generated, say by $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$. Also, $\text{im } \phi$ is Frobenius-stable.

Lemma 0.3. *Let R be a commutative noetherian domain. Let $\alpha \in H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R)$ be such that the elements α^q for $q = p^e$ lie in a finitely generated submodule. Then there exists R' finite over R such that α maps to 0 under $H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{m}}^i(R')$.*

Proof. Suppose

$$g(\alpha) := \alpha^{p^s} - r_1 \alpha^{p^{s-1}} - \dots - r_{s-1} \alpha = 0.$$

Let $\tilde{\alpha} \in C^i$ be a Čech cocycle representing α . Then $g(\alpha) = 0$ implies $g(\tilde{\alpha}) = d\beta$ for some $\beta \in C_{i-1}$. For $\lambda = (1 \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{i-1} \leq d)$, write $\beta_{\lambda} = r_{\lambda}/x_{\lambda}$ where $x_{\lambda} = x_{j_1}^{e_1} \cdots x_{j_{i-1}}^{e_{i-1}}$. \square

Consider $g(Z_{\lambda}/x_{\lambda}) - r_{\lambda}/x_{\lambda}$. Multiply by $(x_{\lambda})^{p^s}$. This clears the denominators, and exactly clears the leading denominator. A root z_{λ} of it is integral. Adjoin all the z_{λ} . Without loss of generality, they were already in the ring.

Let $\tilde{\tilde{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{z_{\lambda}}{x_{\lambda}} \right) \in C_{i-1}$. Then $g(\tilde{\tilde{\alpha}}) = \beta$, $g(\tilde{\alpha}) = d\beta$, and $\bar{\alpha} = \tilde{\alpha} - d\tilde{\tilde{\alpha}}$. Now $\bar{\alpha}_{\lambda} = \left(\frac{s_{\lambda}}{x_{\lambda}} \right)$, $g(Y_{\lambda}) = 0$, $g(\bar{\alpha}) = 0$.